

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA

Record No. 230197

J.M. and S.M.,

Petitioners,

v.

A.A. and F.A.,

Respondents.

NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

On Petition for Review of Interlocutory Appeal from the Court of Appeals of Virginia (Case No. 1855-22-2)

John S. Moran (VSB No. 84326) McGuireWoods LLP 888 16th St. N.W., Suite 500 Black Lives Matter Plaza Washington, DC 20006 T: (202) 828-2817 F: (202) 828-3327 imoran@mcguirewoods.com

Hannon E. Wright, Esq. (VSB No. 75665) Richard L. Mast, Esq. (VSB No. 80660) Rakness & Wright, PLC 1540 Insurance Lane Charlottesville, VA 22911 T: (434) 284-7746 F: (540) 301-0021 hannonwright@gmail.com

rlmast@protonmail.com

April 13, 2023 Counsel for Petitioners J.M. and S.M. Petitioners J.M. and S.M. write pursuant to Rule 5:6A to notify the Court of a

recent change to Code § 17.1-405 that materially affects this case. Recognizing the

anomaly created by the Court of Appeals in this case, the Governor recommended

changing Code § 17.1-405(4) to again include Code § 8.01-675.5 to clear all doubt

that the Court of Appeals has subject matter jurisdiction. See Senate Bill No. 810

(Proposed by the Governor on March 27, 2023) (attached). The text of the bill also

states that the change is "declarative of existing law" and "this act is in force from

its passage." Id. On April 12, 2023, the House of Delegates and the Senate

unanimously approved the Governor's recommended adoption. SB 810, Virginia's

Legislative Information System, https://lis.virginia.gov/cgi-

bin/legp604.exe?ses=231&typ=bil&val=sb810 (last accessed April 13, 2023).

Because of this change, there is no question that the Court of Appeals has

discretionary jurisdiction to consider J.M. and S.M.'s interlocutory appeal. As such,

J.M. and S.M. respectfully request this Court to grant J.M. and S.M.'s petition for

appeal, vacate the decision below, and remand to the Court of Appeals for further

proceedings and to reconsider whether to grant J.M. and S.M.'s petition for appeal.

I certify the foregoing response contains 198 words and complies with the

requirements of Rule 5:6A.

Dated: April 13, 2023

/s/ John S. Moran

John S. Moran (VSB No. 84326)

McGuireWoods LLP

888 16th St. N.W., Suite 500

1

Black Lives Matter Plaza Washington, DC 20006

T: (202) 828-2817 F: (202) 828-3327

jmoran@mcguirewoods.com

Hannon E. Wright, Esq. (VSB No. 75665) Richard L. Mast, Esq. (VSB No. 80660) Rakness & Wright, PLC

1540 Insurance Lane

1340 Insurance Lane

Charlottesville, VA 22911

T: (434) 284-7746

F: (540) 301-0021

hannonwright@gmail.com

rlmast@protonmail.com

Counsel for Petitioners J.M. and S.M.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, John S. Moran, certify that I served the foregoing on all counsel of record listed below.

Maya M. Eckstein (VSB No. 41413) Lewis F. Powell III (VSB No. 18266) Trevor S. Cox (VSB No. 78396) Nathaniel S. Shepherd (VSB No. 94989) HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP 951 E Byrd St Richmond, VA 23219

Telephone: (804) 788-8200

Fax: (804) 788-8218

Email: meckstein@HuntonAK.com Email: lpowell@HuntonAK.com Email: tcox@HuntonAK.com

Email: nshepherd@HuntonAK.com

Jeremy C. King HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 Telephone: (212) 309-1000

Fax: (212) 309-1100

Email: jking@HuntonAK.com

Sehla Ashai
ELBIALLY LAW, PLLC
704 East 15th Street
Suite 204
Plano, TX 75074
Telephone: (312) 659-0154
Email: ashai@elbiallylaw.com

Elizabeth S. Vaughan (VSB No. 65900) GRAHAM LAW FIRM, PLLC 116 Edwards Ferry Road, Ste. 3 Leesburg, Virginia 20176 Telephone: (703) 443-9360 Facsimile: (703) 443-9362

Elizabeth@grahamlawfirmva.com

Blair Connelly
Zachary L. Rowen
Franco Benyamin
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
1271 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020
Telephone: (212) 906-1200
Facsimile: (212) 751-4864

Email: Blair.Connelly@lw.com Email: Zachary.Rowen@lw.com Email: Franco.Benyamin@lw.com

Ehson Kashfipour Damon R. Porter Timothy Michael Snyder LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 555 Eleventh Street, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: (202) 637-2200 Facsimile: (202) 637-2201

Email: Ehson.Kashfipour@lw.com Email: Damon.Porter@lw.com Email: Tim.Snyder@lw.com

Counsel for Respondents A.A. and F.A.

Samantha E. Freed, Esq. (VSB No. 74079) TREMBLAY & SMITH, PLLC 105-109 East High Street Charlottesville, VA 22902 Telephone: (434) 977-4455 Facsimile: (434) 979-1221

Email: Samantha.Freed@tremblaysmith.com

Guardian ad Litem

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ John S. Moran

John S. Moran (VSB No. 84326) McGuireWoods LLP 888 16th St. N.W., Suite 500 Black Lives Matter Plaza Washington, DC 20006

T: (202) 828-2817 F: (202) 828-3327 jmoran@mcguirewoods.com

Counsel for Petitioners J.M. and S.M.

23107669D

7

Q

SENATE BILL NO. 810

AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE

(Proposed by the Governor on March 27, 2023)

(Patron Prior to Substitute—Senator Petersen)

A BILL to amend and reenact §§ 8.01-626, 8.01-675.5, and 17.1-405 of the Code of Virginia and to amend the Code of Virginia by adding a section numbered 8.01-670.2, relating to review of injunction; petitions for review; appeal of interlocutory orders and decrees; emergency.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:

1. That §§ 8.01-626, 8.01-675.5, and 17.1-405 of the Code of Virginia are amended and reenacted and that the Code of Virginia is amended by adding a section numbered 8.01-670.2 as follows:

§ 8.01-626. Review of injunction; petitions for review.

When a circuit court (i) grants a preliminary or permanent injunction, (ii) refuses such an injunction, (iii) having granted such an injunction, dissolves or refuses to enlarge it, or (iv) enters an order reviewable pursuant to subsection B of § 8.01-675.5 § 8.01-670.2, an aggrieved party may file a petition for review with the clerk of the Supreme Court within 15 days of the circuit court's order.

The clerk shall assign the petition to a three-justice panel of the Supreme Court. The aggrieved party shall serve a copy of the petition for review on the counsel for the opposing party, which may file a response within seven 15 days from the date of service unless otherwise determined by the court determines a shorter time frame. The petition for review shall be accompanied by a copy of the proceedings before the circuit court, including the original papers and the circuit court's order respecting the injunction. The Supreme Court may take such action thereon as it considers appropriate under the circumstances of the case.

Nothing in this section shall be construed to prevent the Supreme Court from resolving a petition for review by an order joined by more than three justices.

§ 8.01-670.2. Appeal of interlocutory orders and decrees; immunity.

A. When, prior to the commencement of trial, the circuit court has entered in any pending civil action an order granting or denying a plea of sovereign, absolute, or qualified immunity that, if granted, would immunize the movant from compulsory participation in the proceeding, the order is eligible for immediate appellate review. Any person aggrieved by such order may, within 15 days of the entry of such order, file a petition for review with the Supreme Court in accordance with the procedures set forth in § 8.01-626.

B. No petition under this section shall stay proceedings in the circuit court unless the circuit court finds or the Supreme Court orders such a stay upon a finding that (i) the petition could be dispositive of the entire civil action or (ii) there exists good cause, other than the pending petition or appeal, to stay the proceedings.

C. The failure of a party to seek interlocutory review in accordance with this section shall not preclude review of the issue on appeal from a final order. An order by the Supreme Court denying interlocutory review in accordance with this section shall not preclude review of the issue on appeal from a final order, unless the order denying such interlocutory review provides for such preclusion.

§ 8.01-675.5. Appeal of interlocutory orders and decrees by permission; immunity.

A. When, prior to the commencement of trial, the circuit court has entered in any pending civil action an order or decree that is not otherwise appealable, any party may file in the circuit court a motion requesting that the circuit court certify such order or decree for interlocutory appeal.

The motion shall include a concise analysis of the statutes, rules, or cases believed to be determinative of the issues and request that the court certify in writing that the order or decree involves a question of law as to which (i) there is substantial ground for difference of opinion; (ii) there is no clear, controlling precedent on point in the decisions of the Supreme Court of Virginia or the Court of Appeals of Virginia; (iii) determination of the issues will be dispositive of a material aspect of the proceeding currently pending before the court; and (iv) it is in the parties' best interest to seek an interlocutory appeal. If the request for certification is opposed by any party, the parties may brief the motion in accordance with the Rules of Supreme Court of Virginia.

Within 15 days of the entry of an order by the circuit court granting such certification, a petition for appeal may be filed with the Court of Appeals. If the Court of Appeals determines that the certification by the circuit court has sufficient merit, it may, in its discretion, permit an appeal to be taken from the interlocutory order or decree and shall notify the certifying circuit court and counsel for the parties of its decision.

The consideration of any petition and appeal by the Court of Appeals shall be in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia and shall not take precedence on

SB810S1 2 of 2

60 the docket unless the court so orders.

- B. When, prior to the commencement of trial, the circuit court has entered in any pending civil action an order granting or denying a plea of sovereign, absolute, or qualified immunity that, if granted, would immunize the movant from compulsory participation in the proceeding, the order is eligible for immediate appellate review. Any person aggrieved by such order may, within 15 days of the entry of such order, file a petition for review with the Supreme Court in accordance with the procedures set forth in § 8.01-626.
- C. No petitions or appeals under this section shall stay proceedings in the circuit court unless the circuit court or appellate court orders such a stay upon a finding that (i) the petition or appeal could be dispositive of the entire civil action or (ii) there exists good cause, other than the pending petition or appeal, to stay the proceedings.
- D. C. The failure of a party to seek interlocutory review under this section shall not preclude review of the issue on appeal from a final order. An order by the Supreme Court or Court of Appeals denying interlocutory review under this section shall not preclude review of the issue on appeal from a final order, unless the order denying such interlocutory review provides for such preclusion.
- § 17.1-405. Appellate jurisdiction Administrative agency, Virginia Workers' Compensation Commission, and civil matter appeals.

Unless otherwise provided by law, any aggrieved party may appeal to the Court of Appeals from:

- 1. Any final decision of a circuit court on appeal from (i) a decision of an administrative agency, or (ii) a grievance hearing decision issued pursuant to § 2.2-3005;
 - 2. Any final decision of the Virginia Workers' Compensation Commission;
- 3. Except as provided in subsection B of § 17.1-406, any final judgment, order, or decree of a circuit court in a civil matter;
 - 4. Any interlocutory decree or order pursuant to § 8.01-267.8 or 8.01-675.5;
- 5. Any interlocutory decree or order involving an equitable claim in which the decree or order (i) requires money to be paid or the possession or title of property to be changed or (ii) adjudicates the principles of a cause; or
- 6. Any final judgment, order, or decree of a circuit court (i) involving an application for a concealed weapons permit pursuant to Article 6.1 (§ 18.2-307.1 et seq.) of Chapter 7 of Title 18.2, (ii) involving involuntary treatment of prisoners pursuant to § 53.1-40.1 or 53.1-133.04, or (iii) for declaratory or injunctive relief under § 57-2.02.
- 2. That the provisions of this act amending § 17.1-405 of the Code of Virginia are declarative of existing law.
- 93 3. That an emergency exists and this act is in force from its passage.